



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,315	03/30/2004	Mechthild Rieping	7909/81000	1764

7590 02/25/2009  
LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL A. SANZO  
15400 CALHOUN DRIVE  
SUITE 125  
ROCKVILLE, MD 20855

EXAMINER
----------

KIM, ALEXANDER D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1656

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/25/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/812,315	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RIEPING, MECHTHILD	
	<b>Examiner</b> ALEXANDER D. KIM	<b>Art Unit</b> 1656	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALEXANDER D. KIM.

(3) Jon Weber.

(2) Andrew McAleavey.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13 and 21-23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vallet et al. and Hernandez-Montalvo et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative and the Examiner proposed claim languages that would overcome pending issues and rejections. The Examiner will fully consider any changes in claims and will be examined accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rebecca E. Prouty/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required